

Guidance Note - Visual Prominence and Tree Categorisation

Visual Prominence

A broad indication of visual contribution to the landscape. The evaluation considers:

- location
- public views
- landscape function
- tree size
- growth potential
- useful life expectancy

Visual prominence values are classified as follows:

- (1)** Low - visual contribution restricted to the site
- (2)** Moderate - visual contribution to the site and immediate surroundings
- (3)** High - visual contribution to the site, immediate surroundings and neighbourhood, estate or locale
- (4)** Very high - visual contribution to a conurbation, or trees of exceptional landscape value

Groups of trees are assessed as a single unit.

Tree Categorisation

Broadly in accordance with section 4.5 and Table 1 of British Standard 5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations.

Trees or groups of trees are evaluated twice. Firstly, they are assessed and categorised in the pre-development context to provide a broad valuation of all of their attributes and their contribution to the amenity of the area. Secondly, they are similarly assessed and categorised in the context of a development proposal. The evaluations consider:

- useful life expectancy
- visual prominence (see above)
- landscape function
- numbers of other trees and their maturity (continuity for landscape, amenity, habitat)
- wildlife habitats (including continuity)
- safety
- conflicts with the built environment or other land-use
- cultural, historical or other value

Groups of trees are assessed and categorised as a single unit.

Pre-Development assessment

The tree or group of trees is assessed and placed into one of the following categories (A, B, C or U)

The valuation considers the benefits and disbenefits of retaining the tree or group of trees in the pre-development context

Any specific issues are noted in the tree survey schedule

(A) High quality - Trees the retention of which is most desirable and that have an estimated useful life expectancy of at least 40 years

Wholly appropriate and without significant conflict

(B) Moderate quality - Trees the retention of which is desirable and that have an estimated useful life expectancy of at least 20 years

Appropriate but not of highest value

(C) Low quality - Trees that could be retained and have an estimated useful life expectancy of at least 10 years

Ill-suited but could be retained with moderate conflicts

Trees of no particular merit

(U) Trees unsuitable for retention

Could not reasonably be retained for longer than 10 years

Post-Development assessment

The tree or group of trees is assessed and placed in one of the following categories (A, B, C or U)

The valuation considers the benefits and disbenefits of retaining the tree or group of trees in the context of a development proposal

Any specific issues are noted in the tree survey schedule.

(A) High quality - Trees the retention of which is most desirable and that have an estimated useful life expectancy of at least 40 years

Wholly appropriate and without significant conflict

(B) Moderate quality - Trees the retention of which is desirable and that have an estimated useful life expectancy of at least 20 years

Appropriate but not of highest value and/or having only minor conflicts

(C) Low quality - Trees which could be retained and have an estimated useful life expectancy of at least 10 years

Ill-suited but could be retained with moderate conflicts

Trees of no particular merit

(U) Trees for removal

Would need to be removed to accommodate the development proposal, or could not reasonably be retained for longer than 10 years